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Abstract: Within a 2-year period in the 1940s, 2 Boston physicians published dramatically opposing views on the underlying nature of a syndrome now known as complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS). Evans suggested, in several papers in 1946–1947, that sympathetic reflexes maintain pain and dystrophy in affected limbs. Foisie, in 1947, suggested arterial vasospasms were key in the etiology of this pain syndrome. Evans’ hypothesis established the nomenclature for this syndrome for 60 years, and his term, “reflex sympathetic dystrophy,” guided clinical treatment and research activities over the same period. Foisie’s proposed nomenclature was unrecognized, and had virtually no impact on the field. Recent evidence suggests that Evans’ contribution to the field may have in fact led clinicians and researchers astray all those years. This focus article on CRPS compares recent observations with these 2 earlier theories and asks the question—what if we had adopted Foisie’s nomenclature from the beginning?

Perspective: This article discusses 2 opposing historical views on the etiology of what is now known as CRPS, and how they affected nomenclature, research, and clinical therapy in subsequent decades. This focus article may help researchers and clinicians realize the importance of syndrome names, and how they may inadvertently misdirect research and treatment.

© 2011 by the American Pain Society

Key words: Complex regional pain syndrome, CRPS, reflex sympathetic dystrophy, arterial vasospasm, nomenclature, etiology, pathophysiology, ischemia.

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS; see Table 1 for characteristics and diagnostic criteria) is the current name for a syndrome that has been known over the years by many names, including causalgia, minor causalgia, algodystrophy, shoulder-hand syndrome, and Sudeck’s atrophy. However, the most common and recently used name for CRPS was reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Although mechanistic differences may not exist, the term CRPS-I has been used to replace reflex sympathetic dystrophy and CRPS-II to replace causalgia, with the former diagnosis in cases where no nerve injury is detected, and the latter when nerve injury is confirmed. Recent discouragement with the sympathetic nervous system as a therapeutic target and an underlying pathology had no doubt encouraged the taxonomic change to CRPS, and it has been argued that the former syndrome name may have misguided research and treatment since its inception. In a treatise on the concept that inspired William Shakespeare to pen the phrase “That which we call a rose by any other name would smell as sweet,” the question should be asked—“What’s in a (syndrome) name?” (Romeo and Juliet 2.2.43-44). That is, would history have been different if another name than reflex sympathetic dystrophy had been adopted for the predominant nomenclature of CRPS? To address this question, it would be instructive to review the thinking of the time, back in the mid-1940s, when the term reflex sympathetic dystrophy (and an alternative name) was coined.

In 1946–47, James A. Evans, a physician at the Lahey Clinic in Burlington, Massachusetts, reported on patients’ intense suffering combined with features characteristic of what he called “stimulation of the sympathetic, . . . namely rubor, pallor, or a mixture of both, sweating and atrophy. . . .” In a series of 4 papers that described at first 32 then a total of 57 patients, he observed these symptoms in association with
fractures (21%), sprains (21%), vascular complications (19%), amputations (9%), joint/bone inflammation (5%), and lacerations (2%), or more minor injuries/conditions including bruises (9%) and static defects of the foot (7%). Pain was described as deep, boring, diffuse, but with trigger points, and manipulation or use aggravated patient suffering. Importantly, Evans reported that often pain in these patients was relieved by sympathetic blocks, as the limb warmed. Evans attributed the pain to abnormality in the sympathetic nervous system, and first used the term reflex sympathetic dystrophy. According to Foisie, subacute, undetected arterial vasospasms led to decreases in nutritional blood supply that would otherwise account for the degree of pain and dysfunction.

One year after Evans coined the term reflex sympathetic dystrophy, Philip S. Foisie, a surgeon at Boston City Hospital, concluded that a persisting low-grade arterial spasm after soft-tissue injury can lead to a syndrome with severe pain, extreme tenderness or allodynia, tissue swelling, muscle atrophy, bone osteoporosis, joint stiffness, and limited motion. Basically, the constellation of symptoms that Evans would diagnose as reflex sympathetic dystrophy, Foisie termed “traumatic arterial vasospasm.” According to Foisie, homans, undetected arterial vasospasms led to decreases in nutritional blood supply that resulted in degenerative changes primarily in the musculoskeletal system. Foisie was careful to point out that these vasospasms were not in large vessels that produce tissue-threatening ischemia, but rather in small arterioles. As causatives of war, some of the injuries to Foisie’s patients were severe and may have included nerve as well as soft-tissue injury, but others were less severe and the pain was disproportionate to the injury. He described these symptoms in a single paper on wounded soldiers with fractures, soft-tissue injuries, minor gunshot and shell-fragment wounds, but not in extensive “wide-open” wounds. Importantly, he observed that the syndrome was even more likely in patients with compression injuries that resulted in increased tissue tension (ie, where microvascular pressure is reduced because of increased intracompartmental pressure). He also noted that swelling was often present early on, particularly following casting and immobilization, and concluded that edema should be expected as ischemia causes microvascular injury that increases plasma extravasation with increased permeability of capillary walls. Additionally, these patients would sometimes respond to a combination of sympathetic blocks, massage, and physical therapy to encourage the restoration of nutritional blood supply back to deep tissues. Foisie described 2 cases in which pain relief was obtained following upper dorsal (sympathetic) ganglionectomy or lumbar (sympathetic) ganglionectomy. Foisie was probably influenced by the work of Leriche, who had shown the sympathectomies were useful in the treatment of posttraumatic contractures and causalgia. He was likely also influenced by Homans (also from Boston), who discussed the possibility that “gros arterial spasm” and “diffuse peripheral spasm” contributed to minor causalgia.

The most interesting point to note is that although Evans and Foisie were both suggesting that sympathetic blocks could be useful to relieve pain in their patients, they came to different conclusions as to what pathology produced the pain. Although, like Foisie, Evans identified arterial vasospasms and capillary permeability as key factors, it was their emphasis on sympathetic nervous system activity that differed dramatically. For Evans, increased activity in sympathetic efferents was crucial to the maintenance of the vicious circle, and spinal hyperactivity maintained the reflex sympathetic drive. For Foisie, it was the nature of injury itself that initiated the vasospasm (particularly in cases where injuries increased intracompartmental pressure), and sympathetic

Table 1. International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) Diagnostic Criteria for CRPS-I and CRPS-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRPS-I (REFLEX SYMPATHETIC DYSTROPHY)</th>
<th>CRPS-II (CAUSALGIA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The presence of an initiating noxious event, or a cause of immobilization.</td>
<td>1. The presence of continuing pain, allodynia, or hyperalgesia after a nerve injury, not necessarily limited to the distribution of the injured nerve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Continuing pain, allodynia, or hyperalgesia with which the pain is disproportionate to any inciting event.</td>
<td>2. Evidence at some time of edema, changes in skin blood flow, or abnormal sudomotor activity in the region of the pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Evidence at some time of edema, changes in skin blood flow, or abnormal sudomotor activity in the region of the pain.</td>
<td>3. This diagnosis is excluded by the existence of conditions that would otherwise account for the degree of pain and dysfunction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. This diagnosis is excluded by the existence of conditions that would otherwise account for the degree of pain and dysfunction.</td>
<td>Note: All 3 criteria must be satisfied.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Criteria 2 to 4 must be satisfied.
blocks were seen as a way to overcome the vasospasm. And as history has shown through the citation and key word record searches (see Fig 1), Evans' conclusions were almost universally adopted, whereas Foisie's were almost completely ignored. Indeed, the volume of references to the term reflex sympathetic dystrophy clearly show that this name dominated medical thinking throughout the latter half of the 20th century. In fact, the emphasis of the sympathetic contribution to reflex sympathetic dystrophy has been a large driver in both the predominant therapeutic practice and mechanistic research activity for the past 6 decades.

If Not Sympathetically Maintained Pain, Then What?

Now that the pendulum has swung away from the view that sympathetic nervous system is causal for the pain of CRPS, the question is whether it is about time to seriously consider Foisie's hypothesis. From the obvious lack of attention that Foisie's theory received, could it be said that while being preoccupied by the notions of reflex sympathetic dystrophy and vicious circles, and later by theories of sympathetically maintained pain and sympathetically independent pain (see below), Foisie's hypothesis was the one that got away? What if rather than reflex sympathetic dystrophy and sympathetically maintained pain, traumatic arterial vasospasms, and ischemia-maintained pain were the catch phrases for this syndrome? Would a diagnosis of traumatic arterial vasospasm have changed the way the patient would have been treated, or would a syndrome so named have changed the course of research history? Given the lack of progress in the field during the reflex sympathetic dystrophy fixation, and recent research findings that support Foisie's conclusion, it is likely that the answer is yes!

So what has been learned over the 60-odd years since Evans' and Foisie's respectively renowned and ignored hypotheses? First, it is becoming clear that sympathetic blocks do not always relieve pain in CRPS patients. Clearly, some patients have remarkable but often short-lived responses to sympathetic blocks. Other patients do not respond at all, and still others respond, as noted by both Evans and Foisie, only following multiple blocks. However, since many of these trials lack control subjects, gradual improvement over time may reflect the natural history of the syndrome, rather than the use of sympathetic nerve blocks. These findings lead to 2 possible conclusions: 1) that the pain of CRPS depends on the sympathetic nervous system in some patients but not others; or 2) that the sympathetic nervous system is not critical to pain in CRPS, but may influence pain by affecting other crucial factors. The first conclusion was adopted by proponents of the once-popular notions of sympathetically maintained and sympathetically independent pain (where the pain is proposed to be dependent on, or independent of, activity in sympathetic efferent fibers, respectively), whereas the latter conclusion has not, until recently, received much attention.

Role of Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury

A second important finding is that numerous investigators have now reported that sympathetic activity is actually blunted, rather than enhanced, particularly in early-stage CRPS (when the limb is often hot and swollen). This findings leads to the important conclusion that CRPS cannot depend on enhanced activity in sympathetic efferent fibers, at least not at stages during which the activity is blunted. It also supports the theory that late-phase CRPS (when the limb is often cold and cyanotic) may depend on denervation hypersensitivity of sympathetic vasoconstrictors, a phenomenon that would be expected if sympathetic activity is blunted for prolonged periods.

Figure 1. Impact of Evans' and Foisie's papers on the field. (A) Histogram of the numbers of Science Citation Index citations found for the papers of Evans and Foisie, respectively by decade between 1940 and 2009. (B) Histogram of the numbers of Medline citations found for the terms “reflex sympathetic dystrophy” and “traumatic arterial vasospasm” by decade between 1950 and 2009.
lipofuscin pigment, atrophic fibers, and severely thick-
ened basal membrane layers of the capillaries.90 Al-
though amputated tissue may represent extreme cases of CRPS, this conclusion is also supported by functional
observations of increased density of perfused vessels, lower capillary filtration capacity, arteriovenous shunt-
ing, and ischemia of peripheral subcutaneous tissue in intact CRPS limbs.57,78 It has also been reported that
CRPS limbs have high arterial flow, but low oxygen consumption, as well as high lactate flux—indicative of
tissue ischemia, despite increased flow in large vessels.29 There is also an impairment of high-energy
phosphate metabolism in muscle tissue of CRPS limbs,30,37 suggestive of mitochondrial oxygen deficiency. All of
these observations suggest that ischemia in deep tissues contributes to CRPS. Others have also found evidence of
microvascular injury in skin, including hypertrophic multilayered, and sometimes collapsed, endothelial cell
walls around the arteries and arterials from the skin of amputated CRPS limbs, and reduced skin nutritive flow
and capillary hemoglobin oxygenation in intact CRPS limbs.44,45,71
So it seems that Evans may have been wrong about sympathetic hyperactivity. However, if sympathetic hyper-
activity does not cause the arterial vasospasms that both Foisie and Evans described, what does? Foisie pro-
vided a hint about a possible mechanism. The hint was that arterial vasospasms and painful symptoms were
more likely (and more severe) after compression injuries that increased tissue tension (that is, lowered microvas-
cular pressure because of increased intracompartmental pressure). Foisie suggested that traumatic arterial vaso-
spasm takes place when increased tissue tension occurs after increased vascular permeability and accumulation
of interstitial fluid. He further suggested that arterial vasospasm caused a “prolonged arterial inadequately”
or an oxidative “malnutrition” which “... results in degenerative changes of the musculoskeletal system
primarily.”23
Importantly, injuries that produce enough edema to increase intracompartmental pressure can result in mi-
crovascular changes and injury that depend both on the degree and length of time of the increased intracom-
partmental pressure. Minor inflammatory injuries cause microvascular disturbances that increase capillary
filtration pressure and vascular permeability due to the formation of gaps in the endothelial cell lining of micro-
vessels.38 However, as interstitial fluid increases, there is a compensatory increase in lymphatic flow, which pre-
vents increases in intracompartmental pressure.62 More significant inflammation, after moderate soft tissue in-
jury or fracture, produces plasma extravasation at a rate that cannot be compensated for by lymphatic flow,
resulting in increased intracompartmental pressure. Intramuscular intracompartmental pressures that
approach diastolic blood pressure (typically around 70 mmHg) for at least several hours produce a phenomenon known as acute compartment syndrome (although prolonged intracompartmental pressures above 40 to 45 mmHg are typically a warning that an acute compartment syndrome may occur, and indicate that aggressive treatment is warranted).32,97 Acute compartment syndrome results when the
pressure from excessive interstitial fluid within a closed anatomical compartment compresses small blood
vessels and blocks oxygen supply to the tissue for several hours or more.32
The consequences of a very prolonged acute compart-
ment syndrome can be catastrophic or even fatal, as the ischemic muscle eventually degenerates, producing toxic
metabolites that lead to systemic inflammatory response syndrome and multiple organ dysfunction, characteristic
of crush syndrome or tourniquet shock.32,79 However, a critical study by Vollmar et al92 has shown that intra-
compartmental pressures much lower than those needed to produce acute compartment syndrome also have sig-
nificant effects on microvascular function. Thus, intra-
compartmental pressures between 25 and 35 mmHg block arterial flow in smaller arterioles and venules. Fur-
thermore, an even lower intracompartmental pressure of 12 mmHg produces a 50% reduction in the number of perfused capillaries, reducing nutritive blood flow to the involved tissue.92 Importantly, it was also found
that subsequent reduction of intracompartmental pressure by as little as 4 mmHg restores capillary flow.92
Therefore, for a significant number of capillaries, capil-
lary flow can be blocked (causing ischemia) and reestab-
lished (causing reperfusion) with very small changes in intracompartmental pressures, at levels that are much
lower than required to cause acute compartment syn-
drome. If the ischemia associated with the capillary blockade occurs for a few hours, the tissue within the
capillary bed will be subjected to an ischemia-
reperfusion (IR) injury, once the pressure is reduced and the tissue is reperfused.
Importantly, it has been shown that after closed soft-
tissue injury of the rat hind limb, intracompartmental pressures are elevated between 13.6 and 25.4 mmHg in
the anterior compartment and 7.9 and 16.1 mmHg in the posterior compartment for up to 72 hours postinjury.
Similar to tourniquet-induced IR injury, these increases lead to significant vascular permeability, edema forma-
tion, leukocyte rolling and adherence, and decreases in functional capillary density, but not acute compartment
syndrome.75,88 More severe soft-tissue injury also produces arterial constriction and capillary perfusion failure
lasting 5 days,6 and the microvasculature dysfunction associated with severe soft-tissue injury is alleviated with
the free radical scavenger N-acetylcysteine.74 Soft-tissue injury in the tibial compartment has also been shown
to produce similar microvascular dysfunction in the rat
fibula periosteum,76 whereas closed fracture of the rat
tibia produces microvascular dysfunction in adjacent
skeletal muscle.101 Indeed, patients often exhibit high
muscle intracompartmental pressures (over 40 mmHg
for 24 hours) after tibial fractures. The increased intra-
compartmental pressures produce simultaneous reduc-
tions in perfusion pressure (reflecting low capillary
flow), which correlates highly with later muscle defi-
cits.64 Thus, it seems the mechanism on which Foisie
was not able to elaborate in his patients may have
been microvascular dysfunction following IR injury. IR
injuries are so named because of the recognition that most of the damage that occurs following prolonged ischemia occurs after the tissue is reperfused, a discovery made 3 decades after Foisei’s paper.

Importantly, recent evidence indicates that IR injuries may cause some of the bewildering symptoms observed in CRPS patients. IR injuries initially produce increased capillary and postcapillary venule permeability leading to extensive plasma extravasation and edema,58 a very significant feature of early-stage CRPS.48,96 IR injuries are produced by the generation of oxygen free radicals and proinflammatory cytokines,41,87,100 which produce both microvascular dysfunction and additional IR injury in neighboring tissues, including muscle, bone, and skin.10 Recent evidence suggests that both oxygen-free radicals and proinflammatory cytokines are elevated in CRPS,18,38 and CRPS is alleviated by treatments aimed at reducing these mediators.40,67 CRPS often spreads beyond dermatomal borders, and affects both skin and various deep tissues.54 This parallels what happens with IR injuries, where all tissues (including muscle, bone, and skin) within the occluded area suffer the same consequences (although bone and skin are more resistant than muscle).10 While IR injuries clearly cannot account for motor abnormalities or altered sympathetic or somatomotor activity often seen in CRPS limbs, or the occasional spread of symptoms to the contralateral limb, it is possible that afferent input from injured muscle may be instrumental in producing central sensitization51 that could contribute to these phenomena.

It could be argued that many CRPS patients do not have the type of tissue damage that leads to IR injury. However, CRPS commonly follows fractures, sprains, contusions and crush injuries, athroscopic surgery, overly tight casting, and other edematous soft-tissue injuries.4,26,74 A common feature of all these conditions is an early inflammatory response in soft tissue that has the potential to produce microvascular and ischemic changes in various tissues. While others have noted that CRPS depends on an exaggerated inflammatory response,30,37 most CRPS patients are seen by pain specialists many weeks, months, or years after their original injuries, and initial inflammatory symptoms may be long forgotten. If the soft-tissue injury and inflammation is severe enough to cause a temporary (hours long) increase in intracompartmental pressure that occludes capillary blood flow, a microvascular IR injury will occur. These microvascular injuries would then be expected to produce sustained hypoperfusion and reduced nutritive blood flow. Importantly, since this is most likely to occur in deep tissues, the ensuing ischemic changes may go undetected.

Role of Microvascular Dysfunction

IR injuries produce significant arterial vasospasms or enhanced vasoconstriction due to microvascular dysfunction associated with increased responsiveness of smooth-muscle adrenoceptors to the sympathetic transmitter norepinephrine,72 and a reduced production of the endogenous vasodilator nitric oxide.47,94 Both Foisei and Evans described arterial vasospasms, and recent evidence indicates that CRPS limbs exhibit both reduced nitric-oxide32 and enhanced vasoconstrictor responsiveness to norepinephrine.1,2,17,89 Importantly, the main cause of arterial vasospasm or enhanced vasoconstriction following IR injury is the dramatic loss of nitric oxide (much of which is scavenged by increased oxygen-free radicals to produce nitrogen-free radicals).10,94 Thus, arterial vasospasms can be maintained after IR injury, even if sympathetic activity is blunted in CRPS patients, which itself would be a natural homeostatic response to the vasospasm.

A very significant later consequence of IR injury is damage to capillaries. Free radicals and cytokines generated by IR injury trigger neutrophil recruitment and adhesion, capillary plugging, endothelial cell swelling, and the eventual collapse of the capillary lumen, leading to a phenomenon called capillary no-reflow.36,60 Capillary no-reflow results in arterial venous shunting,42 and a significant reduction of nutritive blood flow within the affected capillary. Importantly, arterial venous shunting and collapsed capillaries have been observed in the limbs of patients with CRPS.57,90 Furthermore, IR injuries initially produce enhanced vascular permeability that contributes to the damage causing vasospasms, but as vasospasms progress to no-reflow, plasma extravasation is diminished, as there is reduced blood flow within the microvasculature.59 This shift from initial high plasma extravasation to later capillary no-reflow, could explain why many CRPS patients start out with significant edema, but then progress to a state with less edema and more ischemia.9,11,45 Later capillary no-reflow may also explain why CRPS is less likely to respond to antisympathetic treatment with greater time following syndrome onset,1 as antisympathetic treatment likely relieves vasospasms, but not capillary no-reflow.

Recent evidence further links the fields of CRPS and IR injury by showing that after a hind paw IR injury, rodents develop vascular and painful symptoms similar to that exhibited by CRPS patients.14,46 Allodynia that develops following rat hind paw IR injury also depends on the generation of oxygen-free radicals and proinflammatory cytokines.46 Importantly, after hind paw IR injury, rats with vasoconstrictive hypersensitivity to norepinephrine (vasospasms) are more likely to develop allodynia, as well as painful responses to intraplantar norepinephrine.98 Similar to CRPS patients,17 sympathetic blockade using guanethidine is more effective at relieving allodynia in rats when performed early after hind paw IR injury.99 As well as vasospasms, rats with hind paw IR injuries develop no-reflow over a time course that parallels allodynia, and exhibit muscle ischemia and increased muscle lactate (as do CRPS patients29) that is directly correlated with the allodynia.46 Like CRPS patients,91 rats with hind paw IR injuries also display painful responses to exercise, and parallel increases in muscle lactate and allodynia after exercise.46

Historical Reflection on Syndrome Names for CRPS

There is growing evidence that targeting pathologies associated with microvascular dysfunction and ischemia
are effective against CRPS. Thus, CRPS is alleviated with free radical scavengers,\textsuperscript{31,67,104} and antitumor necrosis factor-\( \alpha \) antibodies,\textsuperscript{40,56,80} which would mitigate the inflammatory and damaging effects of oxygen-free radicals and proinflammatory cytokines. CRPS has also been shown to be alleviated by nitric-oxide donors and other vasodilators,\textsuperscript{34,55,63} or \( \alpha \)-adrenergic antagonists,\textsuperscript{63,68} and topical or regional clonidine,\textsuperscript{15,26,43,69} which act by inhibition of the effects of sympathetic vasoconstrictors on vasculature smooth-muscle cells. Antioxidant vitamin C administration has also recently been shown to reduce the incidence of CRPS when administrated as a prophylactic treatment after fracture.\textsuperscript{5,102,103}

The very recent shift in thinking about the treatment of CRPS is reflected in the differences between a therapeutic algorithm for CRPS outlined by an expert panel that met in Cardiff in 2001\textsuperscript{85} (Fig 2) versus the evidence-based guidelines for CRPS published by the Netherlands Society of Rehabilitation Specialists and the Netherlands Society of Anaesthesiologists in 2007\textsuperscript{65} (Fig 3). The 2001 algorithm lists sympathetic nerve blocks as a first interventional pain-management procedure.

---

**Figure 2.** Consensus views of therapy for CRPS at the end of the 20\textsuperscript{th} century. Therapeutic algorithm (“Diagnosis CRPS Care Continuum”) with emphasis on therapeutic options in response to patient’s clinical progress in the rehabilitation pathway. Based on the Interdisciplinary Clinical Pathway for CRPS prepared by an Expert Panel in Cardiff, Wales in 2001 (reprinted with permission from Stanton-Hicks et al, An updated interdisciplinary clinical pathway for CRPS: Report of an expert panel, Pain Practice, John Wiley and Sons\textsuperscript{85}).
and lists surgical sympathectomy as a more invasive procedure to be performed in case of inadequate or partial response. The 2007 Dutch evidence-based guidelines list free radical scavengers, 50% DMSO, and n-acetylcysteine under drug treatments, as well as vasodilators for "cold CRPS" and vitamin C as primary prevention for wrist sympathectomy.

Figure 3. Very recent views on therapy for CRPS. Summary chart of the 2007 evidence-based guidelines for complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) reported by the Netherlands Society of Rehabilitation specialists and the Netherlands Society of Anesthesiologists (ESAN) and of the Order of Medical Specialists.

**Secondary prevention (for existing or past CRPS-I):**
- Postpone surgery until CRPS-I symptoms have almost disappeared
- Keep the operation as short as possible and try to prevent to operate without removing blood from the operated extremity
- Adequate pre- and perioperative pain control

**Consider:**
- Perioperative stellate ganglion block or administer regional intravenous anesthesia (clonidine)
- Anaesthesia with sympatholytic effect
- Perioperative calcitonin

**Primary prevention**
- Vitamin C for patients who have had a wrist fracture

**Treatment**

**Paramedical:**
- Physiotherapy according to a protocol
- Occupational therapy according to a protocol

**Drug treatment**
- Pain medication according to the WHO ladder (up to step 3)
- 50% DMSO / β-acetylcysteine

**In the event of a discrepancy between symptoms that can be objectively described and the patient's pain-related behaviour, if treatment stagnates, or if the patient is experiencing extreme suffering:**
- Consult a psychologist

**Treatment of children with CRPS-I:**
- In addition to drug treatment, physical therapy and/or occupational therapy
- If necessary, psychological support from a paediatric/adolescent psychologist

**In the case of allodynia, hyperalgesia:**
- Gabapentin
- Carbamazepine
- Amitriptyline / nortriptyline

**In the case of dystonia, myoklonia or muscle spasms:**
- Oral baclofen, diazepam or clonazepam

**In the case of cold CRPS-I:**
- Vasodilation medication

**Communication and information:**
- Verbal and written
- Involve the family
- Involve patient's association

**CRPS-I and work:**
- Have the patient's working conditions assessed by the company doctor
- Consult the patient's company doctor / doctor treating him/her to establish how much strain he/she can bear

**If ineffective:**
- Intrathecal baclofen at a specialised clinic
- Percutaneous sympathetic blockade

**Other therapies:**
- If other therapies are ineffective, consider:
  - Spinal cord stimulation at a specialized clinic
  - Amputation at a specialized clinic
fractures. Importantly, these later guidelines do not list sympathetic blockade as a first-line treatment, and recommend “extreme caution” when considering surgical sympathectomy. Importantly, sympathetic blocks may still be useful in alleviating CRPS pain associated with microvascular dysfunction and deep tissue ischemia, but they should not imply that sympathetic abnormalities are causative.

While recent evidence points to the strong possibility that following IR injury, arterial vasospasms, no-reflow, and deep-tissue ischemia may be key underlying mechanisms of CRPS, one may ask the question: Why were these mechanisms overlooked for so long? Both Evans and Foisie described arterial vasospasms and ischemia as key features present in their patients. Perhaps the sympathetic reflex hypothesis derived by Evans was just too compelling to allow us to entertain other possibilities. And by concentrating on the sympathetic nervous system, everyone lost sight of the key role of vasospasms and ischemia. Evans’ hypothesis was based on the earlier theories of Livingston, a clinician-scientist who had a large influence on his contemporaries and future pain researchers. The ideas of Evans and Livingston clearly had an impression on John Bonica, key founder and second president of the International Association for the Study of Pain. Bonica was a strong proponent of the idea that reflex sympathetic dystrophy depended on a vicious circle and sympathetic efferent hyperactivity, and he was instrumental in the adoption of the nomenclature proposed by Evans. But more than people, it is ideas that shape research trends, and it seems that, at the time, Evans’ idea was more attractive than Foisie’s. This may have stemmed from a neuron-centric view of pain processing, with an overemphasis of the importance of nerve cells to the generation, as well as transmission, of pain signals. Hopefully, recent research findings outlined here will inspire pain researchers and clinicians to consider endothelial cells and the microvasculature as important potential generators of the noxious inputs critical for CRPS, and put to rest not only the name, reflex sympathetic dystrophy, but the misguided theories, research, and limited treatment it spawned. Perhaps more than 6 decades later, Foisie and his little-known paper will finally receive their due recognition, and patients with CRPS will benefit from the multitude of additional potential therapies new thinking will generate.
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