Acupuncture in ambulatory anesthesia: a review
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Background: Post-anesthetic morbidities remain challenging in our daily practice of anesthesia. Meta-analyses and reviews of acupuncture and related techniques for postoperative nausea and vomiting (POVN) and postoperative vomiting (POV) show promising results while many clinicians remain skeptical of the value of acupuncture. Given the interest in finding safe non-pharmacological approaches toward postoperative care, this body of knowledge needs to be considered. This review critically appraises and summarizes the research on acupuncture and acupressure in ambulatory anesthesia during the last 15 years.

Methods: Articles were identified through searches of Medline, PubMed, and Embase using the search terms “acupuncture” or “acupuncture therapy” in combination with “ambulatory anesthesia” or “ambulatory surgery” or “day surgery” or “postoperative”. A corresponding search was done using “acupressure” and “wristbands”. The searches generated a total of 104, 118, and 122 references, respectively.

Results: Sixteen studies were included; eight studies reported on acupuncture and eight on acupressure. Nine studies found acupuncture or acupressure effective on primary endpoints including postoperative nausea and vomiting, postoperative pain, sore throat, and emergence agitation. Four studies found acupuncture had a similar effect to antiemetic medication.

Conclusion: Overall, the studies were of fairly good quality. A large proportion of the reviewed papers highlights an effect of acupuncture or acupressure on postoperative morbidities in an ambulatory setting. However, one should bear in mind that research on acupuncture/acupressure in an ambulatory setting contributes to ambiguous conclusions. Hence, we have addressed some of the issues related to this diversity in acupuncture research.
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Introduction

A growing awareness of quality in health care has called for a focus on post-anesthetic morbidities, which still remain challenging in our daily practice of anesthesia, such as nausea, vomiting, and pain.¹–³ The incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is shown to be in the range of 17% to 34%,⁴,⁵ with numbers as high as 82% reported in at-risk patients.⁶ Postoperative pain (POP) has been experienced by 30% of patients, and as many as 50% experienced mild POP.⁷ A gradually pain-free state was reported by 36% of patients.⁸

The development of new surgical techniques and new anesthetic drugs has made ambulatory surgery a rapidly changing health care activity. Despite continued efforts in both treatment and prevention of PONV and POP, these symptoms continue after
both inpatient and ambulatory surgical procedures. Since ambulatory patients are discharged to home a few hours postoperatively, it is particularly important to ensure optimal and adequate emetic and pain management.

The numerous receptors involved support the suggestion of a multifactorial etiology of emesis\(^9\) and may explain why antiemetics are only partially effective in preventing PONV. In addition, antiemetic drugs can cause unpleasant adverse events, such as agitation and restlessness, sedation and delayed awakening, extrapyramidal reactions, headache, blurred vision, dry mouth, and tachycardia.\(^{10}\) Opioids intraoperatively increase the incidence of PONV and prolong recovery,\(^{11}\) and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs can cause ulcers in the gastrointestinal tract.\(^{12}\) Since the adverse events are dose dependent, Kehlet and Dahl suggested in their seminal paper\(^{13}\) that a combination of medications acting through different mechanisms allows lower doses of analgesics, resulting in better pain control and fewer adverse events. Multimodal analgesic treatment is thus recommended for POP.\(^{14,15}\)

The awareness of and interest in complementary and alternative medicine is increasing among patients and health care providers worldwide.\(^{16–18}\) Acupuncture and acupressure are therapeutic modalities often used for the treatment of nausea and vomiting due to morning sickness,\(^{19}\) chemotherapy,\(^{20}\) and PONV.\(^{21}\) Several meta-analyses and reviews of acupuncture and related techniques for PONV and POV have been published.\(^{21–30}\) Still, many clinicians remain skeptical of the value of acupuncture. They argue that the evidence is far from convincing, lacks rigor, and is conflicting.\(^{31–34}\)

Bodily acupuncture is in general associated with minor adverse events,\(^{35,36}\) although serious adverse events such as pneumothorax and needle fracture have been reported.\(^{35}\) However, in general, acupuncture in the hands of qualified practitioners, and in particular acupressure, is regarded as a safe therapy.

Given the interest in finding safe non-pharmacological approaches toward postoperative care, this body of knowledge needs to be considered. This review critically appraises and summarizes the research on acupuncture and acupressure in ambulatory anesthesia during the last 15 years.

**Materials and methods**

**Search strategy**

Articles were identified through computerized literature searches. Medline, PubMed, and Embase were searched for publications from January 1, 2000 to February 1, 2015, using the search terms “acupuncture” or “acupuncture therapy” in combination with “ambulatory anesthesia” or “ambulatory surgery” or “day surgery” or “postoperative”. All fields, keywords, and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were included. Filters were English language, human, and clinical trial. A corresponding search was performed using the terms “acupressure” and “wristbands”.

**Selection criteria**

The selection criteria included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are published in peer-reviewed journals on needling acupuncture or acupressure for the alleviation of postoperative morbidities. Further criteria were studies in general or regional anesthesia in ambulatory settings. We decided to include articles published after the year 2000, due to the fact that anesthesiology and surgical techniques, and methodology in acupuncture research, have been developed further in recent decades.

**Selection of papers**

The search performed in Medline, PubMed, and Embase provided a total of 104, 118, and 122 references, respectively. We excluded articles that were not relevant to the aim of this review, pilot studies, most inpatient studies, studies using acupuncture injection, transcutaneous electro-acustimulation, electroacupuncture, ear and hand acupuncture, non-surgery studies, non-clinical studies, and studies of surgery performed under local anesthesia.

The literature search identified 15 peer-reviewed RCTs that met the inclusion criteria. Further, one more study seemed to be relevant. To clarify an inclusion criterion, we emailed the corresponding author of that study, but received no response. Hence, the study was excluded. In addition to the 15 published studies, we included one relevant article in press,\(^{37}\) meaning the review encompassed, in total, 16 RCTs. Figure 1 displays a flow diagram of the paper-selection process.

The literature search also identified numerous other studies on acupuncture-related techniques other than needling acupuncture and acupressure. These involved therapeutic modalities including acupuncture injection,\(^{38,39}\) electroacupuncture,\(^{40,41}\) transcutaneous electro acupuncture stimulation,\(^{42,43}\) auricular acupuncture,\(^{44,45}\) Korean hand acupuncture,\(^{46,47}\) and capsicum plaster used on acupuncture points.\(^{48,49}\) These studies were excluded as they did not meet the selection criteria.

The conceptual framework in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) explains the effect of acupuncture and acupressure based on the belief that an individual’s well-being depends on the balance of energy in the body. According to TCM, energy free flows within the body and also along paths...
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referred to as meridians. Acupuncture techniques restore the balance of energy through the application of acupoints, hence manipulating the flow of energy in the meridians or in the body.50 The acupuncture point Pericardium 6 (PC6 – Neiguan) is a Chinese meridian point frequently used for the treatment of nausea and vomiting. The present review refers to acupuncture points according to World Health Organization-proposed Standard International Acupuncture Nomenclature.31

Results
We included in total 16 studies in our review, eight reporting on acupuncture and eight on acupressure. All studies reported on surgery performed under general anesthesia. Five studies included children, among them three were on acupuncture, one study was on combined acupuncture/acupressure, and one was on acupressure. While acupressure more or less exclusively is used for PONV in ambulatory anesthesia, acupuncture is in addition used for other morbidities, such as pain, emergence agitation, sore throat, and hypothermia (Tables 1 and 2).

Nine studies out of 16 found acupuncture or acupressure effective on primary endpoints including PONV, POP, sore throat, and emergence agitation.52-60 One study found acupuncture partly effective for pain,61 and one study found acupressure effective for vomiting, but not nausea.62

Figure 1 Flow diagram for article identification and selection.
Abbreviation: RCTs, randomized controlled trials.
Four studies found acupuncture having a similar effect as antiemetics. Two out of eight studies on acupuncture and three out of eight studies on acupressure did not find any effect on the selected primary endpoints.

Eleven studies reported on PONV as a primary endpoint using acupuncture/acupressure at point PC6, and among these eleven studies one study combined PC6 with CV13. Three studies reported on POP as primary endpoint. One of these three studies used acupuncture points GV2, GV20, BL30, BL57, PC6, and Nei Ting. The second study used acupuncture points ST34, ST44, and PC5. The third used acupuncture points LI4 and HT7, with emergence agitation as secondary endpoint. One study reported on acupuncture applied to the PC6 acupoint to treat sore throat due to intubation.

Acupuncture or acupressure was initiated before the induction of anesthesia in seven studies, during anesthesia in five studies, and postoperatively in three studies. One study tested both preoperative and perioperative acupuncture.

Blinding of patients and assessor occurred in eleven studies out of 16. In four studies, a patient was blinded but blinding of assessors was unclear. One study was open.

For maintenance anesthesia, ten studies used volatile anesthetics, four studies used intravenous anesthetics, a multicenter study included both volatile and intravenous anesthetics, and in one study anesthesia was not specified. The studies encompassed a range of types of surgery, including strabismus surgery, tonsillectomy, and laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

With regard to POP, one study on pain found no significance between acupuncture and standard care, but a post-hoc analysis found a difference between acupuncture and sham, favoring acupuncture. Another study found significantly reduced swallowing pain scores for acupuncture and standard care, but not for sham acupuncture. Lastly, one study found significantly less PONV in the acupressure group compared with the standard care group, but not compared with the sham group.

There were several secondary outcomes reported. Four studies reported the need for rescue medication and five reported adverse events. Discomfort and treatment satisfaction were reported twice. Resumption to normal activity, complete response, emergence agitation, parent satisfaction, and recovery time were reported once each. Characteristics of the acupuncture and acupressure studies are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Discussion

In our review, we might challenge the definition of “ambulatory anesthesia”. Among the included studies, nine studies were presented as being performed in an ambulatory setting. The remaining seven studies were seemingly performed on inpatients. However, the type of surgery reported was performed in ambulatory settings elsewhere. For the purpose of our review, these studies were regarded as virtually having been conducted in an ambulatory anesthesia setting. Therefore, we included these studies.

This review includes only papers dealing with bodily acupuncture and acupressure, and not other related therapeutic techniques. Other acupuncture-related techniques might be regarded to be in the same category of alternative therapeutic approaches. On the other hand, different acupuncture-related techniques might be very different when compared with bodily acupuncture and acupressure vary greatly. For the purpose of this review, we focused on homogeneity in the selection process.

For this clinical review, and from a practical clinical point of view, acupressure is frequently used for nausea in a wide range of indications, including PONV. Besides, acupressure for nausea is a frequently researched acupuncture-related technique and is combined with bodily acupuncture in scientific studies. Finally, acupressure is an acupuncture-related treatment modality that is easy to implement in a clinical ambulatory setting. Therefore, we included acupressure in our review.

Skin-penetrating body acupuncture might differ from other acupuncture-related techniques in terms of “acupuncture relevance”. Some acupuncture-related techniques have quite different therapeutic approaches in terms of point selection, point specificity, skin penetration, theoretical foundation, stimulation intensity, and accuracy. In a clinical setting, skin-penetrating body acupuncture might be regarded as optimal acupuncture stimulation, compared with other acupuncture-related techniques, even though these techniques are considered as acupuncture.

Well aware of this potential for bias, we developed a tool to explore the acupuncture relevance of related techniques. The degree of optimal acupuncture stimulation in accordance with TCM can be expressed by the Optimal Acupuncture Continuum Indicator Summary (OACIS) tool, modified from the Pragmatic-Explanatory Continuum Indicator Summary (PRECIS) tool by Thorpe et al. Figure 2 provides a concrete picture of the acupuncture relevance of different modalities of acupuncture. Ear acupuncture and electroacupuncture
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients/groups, n</th>
<th>Surgery/anesthesia maintenance</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Acupuncture point(s) and treatment plan</th>
<th>Control and/or comparator</th>
<th>Outcome(s)</th>
<th>Result(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Esmaeili et al</td>
<td>227 adults/two groups</td>
<td>Elective surgery &lt;1.5 hours</td>
<td>Patients blinded</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 during anesthesia for a minimum of 30 minutes</td>
<td>Standard care</td>
<td>Sore throat due to orolaryngeal intubation</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langenbach et al</td>
<td>50 adults/three groups</td>
<td>Hemorrhoidopexy/ intravenous</td>
<td>Patients blinded to acupuncture and sham, but not to standard care</td>
<td>GV2, GV20, BL30, BL57, Neiting. PC6 postoperatively for 20–30 minutes, and on first and second days after surgery</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Partly positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al</td>
<td>60 children/two groups</td>
<td>Myringotomy/volatile</td>
<td>Patients blinded</td>
<td>Bilateral LI4, HT7 during anesthesia for 10 minutes</td>
<td>Standard care</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liodden et al</td>
<td>154 children/two groups</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy/intravenous</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 acupuncture during anesthesia Bilateral PC6 acupuncture postoperatively for 24 hours</td>
<td>Standard care</td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liodden et al</td>
<td>282 children/two groups</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy/intravenous or volatile</td>
<td>All patients and people involved blinded except for acupuncturist</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 during anesthesia for ~15 minutes</td>
<td>Standard care</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertel et al</td>
<td>123 adults/three groups</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy/intravenous</td>
<td>Patients in acupuncture and sham groups blinded; patients in control group not blinded. Assessor blinded</td>
<td>Bilateral SJ4, S44, PCS 1 hour after intake of analgesics for 20 minutes</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somri et al</td>
<td>90 children/three groups</td>
<td>Dental restoration/volatile</td>
<td>All patients and people involved blinded except for acupuncturist</td>
<td>CV13 and bilateral PC6 during anesthesia for 15 minutes</td>
<td>Ondansetron Placebo NaCl</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streitberger et al</td>
<td>220 adults/four groups</td>
<td>Gynecological or breast surgery/volatile</td>
<td>All patients and people involved blinded except for acupuncturist</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 before or after anesthesia induction for 20 minutes</td>
<td>Acupuncture or sham before induction</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Patients/groups, n</td>
<td>Surgery/anesthesia maintenance</td>
<td>Blinding</td>
<td>Acupuncture point(s) and timing</td>
<td>Control and/or comparator</td>
<td>Outcome(s)</td>
<td>Result(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarwal et al(^{41})</td>
<td>200 adults/two groups</td>
<td>Endoscopic urological/volatile</td>
<td>All patients and people involved blinded except for acupuncturist</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 30 minutes before anesthesia for 6 hours postoperatively</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rescue medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarwal et al(^{42})</td>
<td>150 adults/three groups</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy/volatile</td>
<td>Patient blinded; others not stated</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 30 minutes before anesthesia for 6 hours postoperatively</td>
<td>Ondansetron and sham</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rescue medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaiissi et al(^{43})</td>
<td>410 adults/three groups</td>
<td>Gynecological/general anesthesia, agent not specified</td>
<td>Patients blinded in treatment and sham groups, but not in control group Caregivers blinded</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 before anesthesia for 24 hours postoperatively</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Complete response</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment satisfaction</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Redness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Itching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duggal and Kochhar(^{44})</td>
<td>150 adults/three groups</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy/volatile</td>
<td>Patients and assessor blinded</td>
<td>PC6 after anesthesia induction, continuing postoperatively; lateral or bilateral not stated; pressure time not stated</td>
<td>Ondansetron NaCl</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majholm and Møller(^{45})</td>
<td>134 adults/two groups</td>
<td>Breast surgery/intravenous</td>
<td>Patients and assessor blinded</td>
<td>Unilateral before anesthesia induction, for 24 hours</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rescue medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samad et al(^{46})</td>
<td>50 adults/two groups</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy/volatile</td>
<td>All patients and people involved blinded except for acupuncturist</td>
<td>Unilateral before anesthesia induction, for 24 hours</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rescue medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebrahim Soltani et al(^{47})</td>
<td>200 children and adults/four groups</td>
<td>Strabismus surgery/volatile</td>
<td>Patients and assessor blinded</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 30 minutes before anesthesia for 6 hours</td>
<td>Ondansetron and sham</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metoclopramide and sham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White et al(^{48})</td>
<td>100 adults/two groups</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy or gastric binding/volatile and intravenous</td>
<td>Patients and assessor blinded</td>
<td>Bilateral PC6 30–60 minutes before anesthesia induction, for 72 hours postoperatively</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rescue medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment satisfaction</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery time</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resumption to normal activity</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: RCT, randomized controlled trials.
have been selected to represent the variability of stimulation intensities/modalities.

**Risk of reporting bias**
In the review process, considering the risk of reporting bias was essential, as bias could have distorted the results and undermined the validity. Further, identified risk factors for nausea and vomiting might also have influenced the results. We admit to having performed a limited search of selected electronic databases. Consequently, we might have missed some eligible RCTs published elsewhere. This may have resulted in selection bias.

Limitation of the search to RCTs and limited publication years may also have resulted in risk of selection bias. Even though we did a thorough search and all authors participated in the selection process, we cannot disregard the possibility that we failed to identify relevant articles. Furthermore, the subjectivity in the assessing process may have led to risk of selection bias.

Restricting the review according to our selection criteria could have introduced risk of publication bias, as unpublished articles were excluded, and articles not subjected to peer review were likely not identified. Another publication bias, also referred to as the bias against the null hypothesis, is the tendency for published studies to report statistically significant results, as authors tend to refrain from submitting articles with negative results, and peer reviewers tend to reject reviewing, editors tend not to publish, and readers tend to ignore the results of such studies.

We detected one duplicate that might have produced duplicate publication bias. One study was published under different titles in two different journals and years. Lastly, limiting our search to publications in the English language may have risked language bias.

![Figure 2](image)

*Figure 2* The degree of optimal acupuncture stimulation in accordance with traditional Chinese medicine can be expressed by the Optimal Acupuncture Continuum Indicator Summary (OACIS) wheel. In this figure, the acupuncture relevance of acupuncture-related techniques is compared with skin-penetrating acupuncture needles and acupressure stimulation. The lines from the hub (O) represent the modality continuum of optimal acupuncture–suboptimal acupuncture, illustrated as spokes in a wheel. A suboptimal acupuncture moves outward to the rim, while optimal acupuncture is found nearer to the hub.

Anesthesia as risk factor for PONV
Propofol has antiemetic properties, and it is now well established that maintenance of anesthesia with propofol is superior to that with volatile agents, including isoflurane and sevoflurane with or without nitrous oxide, in terms of reducing PONV in adults and children.70–74 Among eight studies using volatiles, four found acupuncture effective for PONV,52,54,60 and one found acupuncture effective for vomiting,6 but not for nausea. One study using intravenous anesthesia found acupuncture effective,59 and one found it was not effective.66 It is not possible to draw any conclusions due to the small number of studies reviewed, however, tentatively one may assume that volatiles do not seem to affect the acustimulation interventions.

Surgery as a risk factor for PONV
Type of surgery as a risk factor for PONV is debated. According to Eberhart et al,75 strabismus surgery is considered the only relevant procedure associated with increased risk in infants, while other studies have shown that ear, nose, and throat surgery is highly associated with PONV, especially tonsillectomy.67,76 Habib and Gan77 found in their review that major gynecological, laparoscopic, and breast surgeries were risk factors for PONV. In line with this a recent review by Apfel et al78 also suggest that cholecystectomy, laparoscopic, and gynecological surgeries are significantly associated with the incidence of PONV.

In the present review, among the ten studies on surgery types associated with risk of PONV,37,52–55,57,59,62,63,65 six studies found acupuncture effective for PONV.52–55,57,59 Another three studies did not find acupuncture effective,77,63,65 and one study found acupuncture effective for vomiting,62 but not for nausea. In comparison, out of the five studies reporting on surgeries not associated with risk of PONV, four studies found acupuncture effective for PONV, and one study found acupuncture partly effective. It is not possible to draw any conclusions due to the small number of studies reviewed, however, tentatively one may assume that type of surgery does not seem to affect acustimulation interventions.

Quality of acupuncture, acupressure, and point selection in the papers
Acupuncture treatment and the theory behind it vary across published research studies. This probably reflects the diversity of acupuncture use in clinical contexts worldwide. There are obvious characteristics that are inconsistent, such as location and depth of needle insertion, the rationale for selecting and use of the different acupuncture points, the frequency and number of treatments, and the addition of electrical stimulation or use of laser.

More subtle characteristics are the level of experience and education of the acupuncturist in question. However, after the publication of “Standards for reporting interventions in controlled trials of acupuncture: the STRICTA recommendations” in 2002,79 followed by the publication of a collaboration between the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) and the Standards for Reporting Interventions in Controlled Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA) groups,80 the reporting of acupuncture trials has become better.

Even though the aforementioned issues are raised in several of the reviewed papers, we are left with more questions than answers with regard to the quality of acupuncture, acupressure, and point selection. What is an expert acupuncturist? To what depth should the needles be inserted? For how long should the needles be retained? More detail needs to be gone into about the procedure; it is insufficient to report only that the needles remained in place during surgery and were removed at the end of surgery. It is also common, and indeed relevant for an acupuncturist, to present a rationale for the selection of acupoints.

Other methodology issues
Eight out of the 16 articles employed a power calculation,37,57–61,63 but one study was completed before the sample size was attained,61 and in one study the description of the calculation was unclear.57 Samples that are too small may be inadequate to provide a statistically significant result.

Only four out of the 16 articles reported adequate allocation concealment.37,59,61,63 If allocation concealment is not satisfactory, one can expect a biased estimate of the treatment effect.81

Placebo effect in acupuncture/acupressure studies
The notion of an ideal placebo control in acupuncture research has yet to be confirmed. Placebo controls commonly used include sham acupuncture (acupuncture on non-acupuncture points), superficial acupuncture (penetrating needling of the skin), and placebo acupuncture needles (needles not penetrating the skin). Common acupressure placebos are wristbands with a felt patch, without a pressure “bead”, or application of the bead inappropriately on a non-acupuncture point.

The use of placebo controls is controversial due to their large nonspecific effects, which may imply difficulties in detecting the effects of the intervention and in interpreting
Another hypothesis is that placebo controls are not inert, but may produce specific effects by evoking physiological responses similar to real acupuncture. The use of – for example – superficial acupuncture needling as a control intervention, reflects a lack of the historical knowledge of acupuncture theory and usage. This form of needling has been practiced in Japan for a long time and may well have clinical effect. Consequently, instead of reducing risk of bias, placebo acupuncture may introduce risk of bias against study results.

To remedy this, a third study group may be included: standard care. Any difference between the control and sham groups could be related to placebo responses, including potential nonspecific and specific effects of sham treatment. This was done in three studies in the present review. However, the sham procedures in the reviewed papers can definitively be questioned.

Similar wristbands were used in one study in both intervention groups. The so-called sham was placed on the dorsum of the arm, probably off an acupuncture point. The reports of adverse events in this study showed that this sham procedure was far from a tactile stimulation. Hence, and not unexpectedly, similar stimulation of two different points of the body may have a similar effect on the outcome. This raises an interesting question as to whether or not there are point-specific effects of acupressure; however, this discussion is beyond the scope of this review.

The nature of acupuncture/acupressure in the studies

It has been argued that the timing of acustimulation – pre-, per-, or postoperatively – has implications for the effect of the treatment. A review by Wang et al indicated that acupuncture is effective when performed pre- and postoperatively. A study by White et al showed that acupuncture has little preemptive effect; the optimal timing of stimulation seemed to be postoperatively. It has also been held that acustimulation is ineffective when performed while under anesthesia.

Three studies out of four in our review performed acupuncture during anesthesia and argued against this; acupuncture was found effective in preventing sore throat, in reducing pain and emergence agitation, and in PONV. The two latter studies involved children. Children are strong reactors and respond well to acupuncture, and our review found that pediatric acupuncture seemed to be effective in four out of five studies.

The philosophy of TCM is based on non-reductionist thinking, and things are typically seen inseparable as parts from a whole. Individual diagnoses and individual choice of acupuncture points and stimulation are essential approaches.

The complex nature of acupuncture makes research through standard methodological approaches challenging and this sometimes contributes to ambiguous results, basically finding nothing either way.

Standardized acupuncture and acupressure are easy to perform and do not demand extra time and resources. The possibility of getting a new treatment implemented in practice depends on, among other things, its feasibility.

Our review found that invasive acupuncture and non-invasive acupressure seem to be equally effective modalities. Given this, acupressure may be a favorable choice, well tolerated by adult patients afraid of needling, and children. Acupressure can be performed by patients, parents, and care providers. Antiemetic drugs and opioids entail several unwanted adverse events, and multimodal approaches to PONV and POP are recommended. Acupuncture and acupressure are associated with low cost and a low incidence of adverse events.

The effect of acupuncture/acupressure in postoperative morbidities

The studies in our review display ambiguous and conflicting results and the evidence is not consistent, as shown in previous reviews and meta-analyses. Similar studies indicate that acupuncture and acupressure may be effective in reducing PONV and POP. This is also in line with the Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia guidelines for the management of PONV.

The reporting of adverse events was sparse; only five studies included adverse events as a secondary outcome. Two acupuncture studies reported hematomas and bleeding, and one study reported itching, tightness, redness, and headache from acupressure. This is in line with previous research.

However, it is challenging to aggregate results from single studies into a common understanding of an overall effect in acupuncture and/or acupressure for morbidities in the ambulatory setting. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses might well achieve a higher statistical power, although by including methodologically weak studies, but will still result in bad statistics. Our review process has also revealed that a lack of knowledge and clinical experience of acupuncture might bias a review or meta-perspective of the topic.

Conclusion

This paper presents an overview of the currently available relevant literature on acupuncture/acupressure in an ambula-
tory setting. Numerous papers describe the use of acupuncture and acupuncture-related techniques for postoperative morbidities in ambulatory anesthesia; however, only a few are high-quality RCTs in acupuncture/acupressure.

A major proportion of the reviewed papers highlight an effect for postoperative morbidities in an ambulatory setting. Some studies also indicate that the effect of acupuncture and acupressure was similar to that of antiemetic drugs. It is therefore reasonable to consider acupuncture and/or acupressure as part of ambulatory anesthesia. This is also supported by the fact that treatment costs are low and adverse effects are rare.

However, one should bear in mind that research on acupuncture/acupressure in an ambulatory setting contributes to ambiguous conclusions. There is substantial research to support the effect of acupuncture/acupressure for PONV. On the other hand, acupuncture/acupressure for other postoperative morbidities in an ambulatory setting can still not be regarded as evidence-based practice and more reliable and valid research is warranted.

Recommendations for future research

Research on complex therapies, such as acupuncture, should be conducted with an approach toward the whole treatment package, including placebo responses, using a pragmatic design. Research methodology should be rigorous and have a specific focus toward binding, placebo, and allocation concealment. Conventional precautions such as unbiased selection, power calculation, homogeneity, and clearly defined outcomes must be fulfilled to ensure validity and reliability. Research involving acupuncture should also adhere to methodological and reporting principles described in the CONSORT and STRICTA guidelines.
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